

# Aerial Robotics Working Group

## February 28th 2024 Discussion meeting

**Kimberly McGuire** 



Ramon Roche



# The Agenda

- Announcements
- Background info about REPs
- Summary last meeting
- Proposal of improvement of REP-147 by Ryan
- Some further topic discussions
- Conclusion

## Announcements

- Diversity Scholarship Application ROScon 2024
- Indoor navigation subcommittee kick off
- Anybody else anything to share ? (Meetups, announcements..)



# What's a REP

- ROS Enhancement proposal
- Types of REP
  - Standards Track
  - Informational
  - Process
- Workflow->



https://ros.org/reps/rep-0001.html

### Last meeting on message standards August 16th 2023

- Current state REP-147 is not fully aligning to the needs of aerial robotics
- Consider Existing Standards and Real-World Use Cases
- Define Semantic Data Types and Behavior
- Advise: Start Small and Incrementally Build

Find the recording and transcripts here:

https://discourse.ros.org/t/august-2023-meetings-aerial-robotics/32809/5

## **REP - 147- A Standard interface for Aerial Vehicles**

- Started in 2016 as 'Draft'
- Last updated in 2018
- Given 'Deferred' status in 2022
- Tiny updates since then by Ryan

#### https://www.ros.org/reps/rep-0147.html

# Why REP-147

- Standardization and autopilot agnostic
- Tooling integration
- Alternative is MAVlink, but no ROS tooling support
- How to integrate with existing ROS tooling
- Optimization of existing telemetry solutions is perhaps not necessary (overly optimized).
- Behavior compatibility between autopilots
- Current REP doesn't define topics and desired behavior
- Current REP was meant to be platform agnostic
- Perhaps not fix topic types too much

# Why REP-147

- REP-147 not standard so people can implement something different for optimization
- Could consider downsample/upsample for covariances
- Could we start from MAVlink for most common use-cases?
- MAVlink has message defs but no behavior defs
- Limiting for autopilot devs to deal with mavlink, need to propose new message. Tedious with difference of versions.
- Futureproof- not blocking custom messages
- Custom mode interfaces
- ABI compatible
- UORB messages

## Proposal

- Start with just a few messages
- Add in vehicle status, establish one at a time
- Try solving one problem at a time
- How to ratify? Make REP199 (any number) the minimal set.
- Deferred -> not implemented on systems. Should aim to show examples
- Goal optimize for ROS developers to talk to flight stacks
- Could do REP199 package with messages to avoid getting slowed by core messages
- Do we want a test suite? Yea, if we can.

# **Proposals for improvement**

- Added by Ryan
- Sensor Data Messages
  - Make IMU only report IMU data fused EKF orientation is a different system
  - What do do with the mandatory covariance arrays?
- Control
  - No interface for dubins 3D curves, and GlobalPosition is hacked as a workaround for now
  - Unclear velocity control how do you tell a plane to do a circle from ROS and let the autopilot pick the optimal bank angle?
- No interface for missions or geofences, but those are fairly standard by now
  - Why not geographic msgs/msg/WayPoint?
- Document who is responsible for validation of messages
  - IE is it the companion computer or the autopilot that normalizes a quaternion?

# **Additional Topics to discuss**

- Added by Kim
- Informational vs standards/process REPs?
- Communication protocol in REP: rtps/dds, zenoh
- Coordination with other REPs
  - **REP-105 Coordinate Frames for Mobile Platforms** Ο https://www.ros.org/reps/rep-0105.html
  - **REP for Maritime Currently in discussion phase** Ο https://discourse.ros.org/t/new-ros-enhancement-proposal-f
- What is necessary to get it back into draft status
- What is necessary to get it accepted and active
- **Outdoor versus indoor navigation... different REPs?**



**New ROS Enhancement Proposal for Marine** Robotics /

Maritime Robotics wg-maritime-robotics, rep





evan-palme

Folks at the Maritime WG have been holding discussions regarding a new REP robotics. We would like to gather feedback on our current ideas and also from those that aren't involved in the WG.

# Next meeting

Next up is a Developer meeting! Hopefully with more subcommittees to talk about!

• Wednesday 13th of March 2024 at 3 pm UTC

Github organization for this working group: https://github.com/ROS-Aerial

- Aerial robotics landscape: Add a info page if you like
- Community: Add yourself as member

Wanna do a presentation? Email to <u>kimberly@bitcraze.io</u> & <u>rroche@linuxfoundation.com</u>

